

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

DATE MAILED:

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	ENTOR	<u> </u>	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/536,345	09/29/95	I EMP'LE		Life Control of the C		
T JAMES P ZE	LLER	21M1/0121	乛	MALLE	EXAMINER	
MARSHALL O MURRAY & B	'TOOLE GERSTE ORUN 6300 SE WACKER DRIVE	ARS TOWER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO IL	60606-6402				01/21/98	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

08/536,345

Applicant(s)

Temple et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Group Art Unit Daniel P. Malley

2101

☐ This action is FINAL .			
□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 C.D.			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire <u>three</u> is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may 37 CFR 1.136(a).	n the period for response will cause the		
Disposition of Claims			
X Claim(s) 34-45, 59-64, 67-69, 72, and 73	_ is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above, claim(s) 20-33, 46-58, 65, 66, 70, 71, and 74	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
X Claim(s) 34-45, 59-64, 67-69, 72, and 73	is/are rejected.		
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
☐ Claims are subject			
Application Papers			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	48.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Exa	nminer.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐app	proved disapproved.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d).		
	uments have been		
🔀 received.			
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	<u> </u>		
\square received in this national stage application from the International Bur	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
*Certified copies not received:	·		
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e).		
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)			
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 			
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING I	DACES		

Page 2

Serial Number: 08/536,345

Art Unit: 2101

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Claims 20-33, 46-58, 65-66, 70-71, and 74 are withdrawn from further consideration by

the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention, the requirement

having been traversed in Paper No. 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 34-45 and 59-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

The independent claims 34 and 59 are confusing and not understood. The phrase "poled

normal thereto" could refer to a number of directions; it is not clear which direction is being

referred to. The term "channel facing surfaces" is unclear. The term "the channel spacing" lacks

antecedent basis in the claims. The phrase "disposed parallel with and..closure sheet to the

channels" is unclear and not understood. In general, the independent claims seem unorganized

and written in a hodge-podge manner, making them difficult to understand.

Page 3

Serial Number: 08/536,345

Art Unit: 2101

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness 3. rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 34-45, 59-64, 67-69 and 72-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 4. unpatentable over Temple('028) in view of Bartky et al. (Bartky).

Temple teaches a high density multi-channel array, electrically pulsed droplet deposition apparatus comprising: a plurality of modules each module including a layer of piezo-material poled normal thereto, a channel for ink droplets, separating walls, and electrodes; a channel closure sheet; a nozzle plate; and means for supplying liquid to the channels.

Bartky teaches a multi-channel array comprising: a plurality of modules including a channel and separating wall, wherein separating wall surface has an electrode which causes wall to eject droplet upon shearing; channel cover sheet having electrodes opposite the channel; drive circuits connected to electrodes; and manifold.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Bartky into those of Temple, for the motivation, as suggested by Bartky, of providing an ink jet actuator having improved efficiency.

Art Unit: 2101

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D.P. Malley whose telephone number is (703) 305-3475.

DANIEL P. MALLEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

DPM January 15, 1998